arXiv:hep-th/9712250v2 3 Jan 1998

The spacetime attribute of matter

Borge Nodland
Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627

We propose that spacetime is fundamentally a property of matter, inseparable from it. This leads us to suggest that all properties of matter must be elevated to the same status as that of spacetime in quantum field theories of matter. We suggest a specific method for extending field theories to accomodate this, and point out how this leads to the evolution of fields through channels other than the spacetime channel.

PACS numbers: 11.10.-z, 03.65.Bz, 03.65.-w
(December, 1997)

I. INTRODUCTION

In general, an interacting quantum mechanical system is described by an operator equation for the field amplitude f of the system. This field equation is of the form

$$\widehat{O}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, x, a_i)f(x) = I_{\rm int}(x) \tag{1}$$

(throughout this paper, we use an overhat to denote operators). The spacetime-dependent term $I_{\rm int}(x)$ in (1) is given by

$$I_{\rm int}(x) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\rm int}}{\partial f}, \tag{2}$$

and arises from the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial f} - \partial_\mu[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu f)}] = 0 \tag{3}$$

when interactions are present in the form of an interaction term $\mathcal{L}_{\rm int}$ in the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ [1].

We note that spacetime $x = x^\mu$ typically enter as a derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ (and also possibly as a parameter) in the operator $\widehat{O}$ in (1), while other properties, or attributes, a_i, such as mass, spin, etc., generally enter only as parameters, not derivatives (an example is the Dirac equation). Spacetime, therefore, assumes a special role in (1).

However, one may take the view that the spacetime location x of a particle represented by a field f is just one of many attributes the field can have. A separation of spacetime from objects or fields is artificial, since space really has no meaning without physical objects in it, and time has no meaning unless a physical change occurs in an object. From these considerations, we propose that spacetime x is a regular property of matter, and we take this as an indication that other properties of matter should play a role of the same significance as that of spacetime in quantum field theories. In other words, spacetime exemplifies how other attributes of matter should be viewed in field theories.

A simple, natural approach toward the attainment of a satisfactory quantum theory of gravitation is to regard spacetime x as a discrete, quantized entity (see for example Ref. [2] and references therein). This accords with the quantal nature of other particle properties like spin, mass, etc, and supports the notion that spacetime is a property of matter.

An attribute of a field can exist only if the field exists. For example, since the collection of all measurable values for the spacetime location of a particle represented by the field f is just spacetime itself, spacetime exists only becuse objects, or fields, exist. The same reasoning can be applied to other field attributes. A field may thus be considered to carry with it several attributive spaces, such as spacetime, a mass–space, a spin–space, etc.

The presence of spacetime derivatives in quantum field theory (i.e. in Lagrangian densities, and consequently in generating functionals, field equations, etc.) leads to an evolution of a field amplitude in space and time via interactions with other fields. Interactions between fields of specific masses, spins, and spatiotemporal location probabilities result in new fields with new values for these properties. Commonly, such interactions are thought to proceed through space and time. However, if one considers spacetime to be one of many attributive spaces carried by a field, one may consider interactions to go through alternative channels. A specific such channel would then be an attributive space existing by virtue of characterizing a field. The totality of measurable values of the specific attribute of the field is what constitutes the space or channel. Examples of such alternative channels are a mass (or energy) channel, and a spin channel.

II. GENERAL APPROACH

In view of the discussion in the introduction, we now extend the interpretation of the field f to that of a function $\widetilde{f}$ of several attributes (in this paper, we use a tilde throughout to denote extensions of operators and fields). This means that $\widetilde{f}$ is an attributive field defined on a multiattributive space $\mathcal{S}$, containing as subspaces the attributive spaces mentioned in the introduction (among others). $\widetilde{f}$ is now a multiparticle field that contains information on the evolution (via interactions) of particles into new particles characterized by new attributive values for their spacetime location, mass, spin, etc.

With this extension of f in (1), the operator $\widehat{O}$ in (1) must similarly be extended to an operator $\widetilde{\widehat{O}}$ that takes into account the other attributes f has in the same manner that the spacetime attribute x is normally taken into account in (1). This implies that an attribute a_i should not merely be a parameter in (1), but should also enter as a derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial a_i}$.

In view of the above, we therefore extend (1) to the general form

$$\widetilde{\widehat{O}}(\frac{\partial}{\partial a_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial a_2}, \cdots, a_1, a_2, \cdots)\widetilde{f}(a_1, a_2, \cdots) = 0, \tag{4}$$

where a_i $(i =, 1, 2, \cdots)$ are the attributes of $\widetilde{f}$, including the spacetime attribute x.

Since $\widetilde{f}$ is inherently a field of multiple, and thus interacting, particles, the operator $\widetilde{\widehat{O}}$ is assumed to account for all interactions. This obviates the need for a separate interaction term [as in (1)] on the right hand side of (4). One may consider the field equation (1) to be a projection onto the spacetime subspace of $\mathcal{S}$ of an underlying field equation (4), where in this projection only a single attributive derivative, that is, the spacetime derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial a_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \partial_\mu$ is retained.

In view of the discrete nature of an attribute a_i (including x, as explained above), the attributive space $\mathcal{S}$ is strictly speaking a discrete space over which a difference operation (or possibly some alternative operation) on $\widetilde{f}$ would be more appropriate than differentiation. However, for simplicity, we still retain the notation in terms of differentiation as in (1), with the understanding that it should be re–interpreted in terms of a difference operation, consistent with the quantal nature of $\mathcal{S}$. We also assume that this operation is linear.

In what follows, we want to utilize specific, established expressions for the operator $\widehat{O}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, x, b)$ in (1) to obtain information on the nature of an attribute b that appears as a derivative in (4), while only entering as a parameter in (1) [i.e. $b \neq x$]. One way to do this is to apply a differential operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial b}$ to (1). Thus, if (1) [with a_i replaced by b, and $f(x)$ replaced by $\widetilde{f}(x, b)$] holds, then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b}[\widehat{O}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, x, b)\widetilde{f}(x, b)] = 0 \tag{5}$$

must hold. It is seen that (5) is a two-attribute version of (4),

$$\widetilde{\widehat{O}}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial b}, x, b)\widetilde{f}(x, b) = 0, \tag{6}$$

if we extend the interpretation of the field $f(x)$ in (1) to that of an attributive field $\widetilde{f}(x, b)$ over x and b.

III. APPLICATION TO THE DIRAC EQUATION

As a specific application of the above discussion, we focus on the Dirac equation

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\psi(x) = I_{\mathrm{int}}(x), \tag{7}$$

where γ^μ $(\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ are the Dirac gamma matrices, m the mass of ψ, and $\partial_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ the spacetime derivative operator. From (7), we see that examples of the non–spacetime attributes a_i in (1) are quantities like m (representing mass) and γ^μ (representing spin).

According to the discussion in the previous section, we now extend the interpretation of the Dirac field $\psi(x)$ in (7) to that of a field $\widetilde{\psi}(x, m)$ over spacetime x and mass m. We next differentiate (7) [with $\psi(x)$ replaced by $\widetilde{\psi}(x, m)$] with respect to mass m, corresponding to the operation in (5), with the attribute b of the general field f being the mass attribute m of the extended Dirac field $\widetilde{\psi}$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial m}(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\widetilde{\psi}(x,m) = \frac{\partial}{\partial m} I_{\rm int}(x). \tag{8}$$

This results in the equation

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\frac{\partial \widetilde{\psi}}{\partial m} - \widetilde{\psi} = 0. \tag{9}$$

To solve this equation, we note that the Dirac operator

$$\widehat{D}(x,m) = (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \tag{10}$$

has the inverse (i.e. the corresponding Dirac propagator)

$$S(x,m) = (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + m)\Delta_F(x,m), \tag{11}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{D}(x,m)S(x,m) \\ &= (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + m)(i\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu + m)\Delta_F(x,m) \\ &= (-\Box - m^2)\Delta_F(x,m) = \delta^4(x). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Here $\Delta_F(x,m)$ is the Feynman propagator, $\Box$ the contraction $\partial^\mu \partial_\mu$, and $\delta(x)$ the four–dimensional delta function. (12) follows from the symmetry property $\partial_\mu \partial_\nu = \partial_\nu \partial_\mu$ of the derivative operator $\partial_\mu \partial_\nu$, the anticommutator property $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu}$ [where $g^{\mu\nu}$ is the metric of signature (1, -1, -1, -1)] of the gamma matrices γ^μ, and the fact that the Feynman propagator is the inverse of the Klein–Gordon operator $\widehat{K}(x,m)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{K}(x,m)\Delta_F(x,m) &= (\Box + m^2)\Delta_F(x,m) \\ &= -\delta^4(x). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

In accord with the general discussion in the previous section, we have included here the specific m–dependence in all operators and propagators. As with the general operator $\widehat{O}$, we assume that its propagator inverse $\widehat{O}^{-1}$ has an extension $\widetilde{\widehat{O}}^{-1}$ that is generally different from its spacetime projection (i.e. $\widetilde{\widehat{O}}^{-1} \neq \widehat{O}^{-1}$).

Multiplication of $S(x - x_1, m)$ on both sides of (9), and integrating with respect to spacetime x_1 yields, with the use of (12),

$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{\psi}(x,m)}{\partial m} = \int S(x - x_1, m)\widetilde{\psi}(x_1, m)\, d^4x_1. \tag{14}$$

We see that the integro-differential equation (14) indicates that $\widetilde{\psi}$ undergoes an interaction–driven evolution through a mass channel, as discussed in the introduction. Consequently, we define a mass evolution operator $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}(x, m, m_0)$ by

$$\widetilde{\psi}(x,m) = \widehat{\mathcal{M}}(x, m, m_0)\widetilde{\psi}(x, m_0), \tag{15}$$

where $\widetilde{\psi}(x, m_0)$ is an extended Dirac field characterizing a system with a fixed mass m_0. Clearly, we have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}(x, m_0, m_0) = 1. \tag{16}$$

In view of the definition (15) for $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$, (14) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial \widehat{\mathcal{M}}(x, m, m_0)}{\partial m} = \int S(x - x_1, m)\widehat{\mathcal{M}}(x_1, m, m_0)\, d^4x_1. \tag{17}$$

But the differential equation (17) and the boundary condition (16) can be combined to give the single integral equation [with x replaced by x_0]

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{\mathcal{M}}(x_0, m, m_0) \\ &= 1 + \int_{m_0}^{m} dm_1 \int d^4x_1 S(x_0 - x_1, m_1) \\ &\widehat{\mathcal{M}}(x_1, m_1, m_0). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Iteration of (18) yields the perturbation solution

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widehat{\mathcal{M}}(x_0, m, m_0) = 1 + \int_{m_0}^{m} dm_1 \int d^4x_1\, S(x_0 - x_1, m_1) \\
&+ \int_{m_0}^{m} dm_1 \int d^4x_1\, S(x_0 - x_1, m_1) \int_{m_0}^{m_1} dm_2 \int d^4x_2 \\
&S(x_1 - x_2, m_2) \ + \ \cdots \\
&+ \int_{m_0}^{m} dm_1 \int d^4x_1\, S(x_0 - x_1, m_1) \int_{m_0}^{m_1} dm_2 \int d^4x_2 \\
&S(x_1 - x_2, m_2) \ \cdots \int_{m_0}^{m_{n-1}} dm_n \int d^4x_n \\
&S(x_{n-1} - x_n, m_n) \ + \ \cdots
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

It is clear from the integration sequences in (19) that $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \ \cdots \ \geq m_{n-1} \geq m_n$. Therefore, each product of Dirac propagators S in (19) is in mass ordered form (which is analogous to the time ordering of the various products of Hamiltonian operators in the time evolution operator $\widehat{U}(t, t_0)$ [3]). We now define a mass ordering operator $\widehat{M}$ for the product of two quantities $A_1(m_1)$ and $A_2(m_2)$ by

$$\widehat{M}[A_1(m_1)A_2(m_2)] = \begin{cases} A_1(m_1)A_2(m_2) & \text{if } m_1 \geq m_2 \\ A_2(m_2)A_1(m_1) & \text{if } m_1 < m_2. \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

$\widehat{M}$ is defined in a similar manner for products of more than two quantities $A_i(m_i)$. By using $\widehat{M}$ to retain the mass ordering in (19), we can now extend the mass integrations in the various terms in (19) to the full range from m_0 to m by including an $\frac{1}{n!}$ compensation factor for the n–th term. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widehat{\mathcal{M}}(x_0, m, m_0) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{m_0}^{m} dm_1 \int_{m_0}^{m} dm_2 \ \cdots \\
&\int_{m_0}^{m} dm_n \ \cdots \int d^4x_1 \int d^4x_2 \ \cdots \int d^4x_n \\
&\widehat{M}[S(x_0 - x_1, m_1)\ S(x_1 - x_2, m_2) \ \cdots \\
&S(x_{n-1} - x_n, m_n)].
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Since (21) has the form of a Taylor series expansion of the exponential function, we write $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}(x, m, m_0)$ on the shorthand form

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}(x, m, m_0) = \widehat{M} \exp\left(\int_{m_0}^{m} dm' \int d^4x' S(x - x', m') \right). \tag{22}$$

It is seen that the above derivation of the expression for $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}(x, m, m_0)$ is similar to the derivation of the expression for the standard time evolution operator $\widehat{U}(t, t_0)$ [3,4].

For definiteness, we represent the Feynman propagator by the four–dimensional Fourier space integral solution of (13) [1],

$$\Delta_F(x, m) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int \frac{e^{-ikx}}{k^2 - m^2}\, d^4k, \tag{23}$$

where k is the four–dimensional wave vector

$$k = k^\mu = (k_0, \vec{k}). \tag{24}$$

For simplicity of notation, we here assume that the k–integration involves the proper path around the two poles on the k_0 axis [thus omitting the usual infinitesimal parameter ϵ in the denominator of (23)] [1]. Substitution of (23) into (11) yields

$$S(x, m) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int \frac{(\gamma^\mu k_\mu + m)e^{-ikx}}{k^2 - m^2}\, d^4k. \tag{25}$$

One can now substitute (25) into (21) and carry out the mass integrations at the various orders in (21). To first order we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^1(x_0,m,m_0) &= \int_{m_0}^{m} dm_1 \int d^4x_1 S(x_0-x_1,m_1)\\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int_{m_0}^{m} dm_1 \int d^4x_1 \int d^4k \frac{(\gamma^\mu k_\mu + m_1)e^{-ik(x_0-x_1)}}{k^2-m_1^2}\\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int d^4k \int d^4x_1 \left[\frac{\gamma^\mu k_\mu}{|k|}\left(\tanh^{-1}\frac{m}{|k|}\right.\right.\\ &\left.\left. - \tanh^{-1}\frac{m_0}{|k|}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{m_0^2-k^2}{m^2-k^2}\right] e^{-ik(x_0-x_1)}, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $|k| = \sqrt{k_0^2 - \vec{k}^2}$.

In accord with the previous discussion, we view the propagation of the attributive field $\widetilde{\psi}(x_0,m_0)$ in (9) as taking place through both a spacetime channel and a mass channel. To propagate $\widetilde{\psi}(x_0,m_0)$ to $\widetilde{\psi}(x,m)$, one may propagate the field through spacetime only by use of an extended Dirac propagator $\widetilde{S}(x,m,m_0)$ that contains in it the mass channel propagation from $\widetilde{\psi}(x_0,m_0)$ to $\widetilde{\psi}(x_0,m)$. From (26), we see that the first-order term of a perturbation expansion of $\widetilde{S}(x,m,m_0)$ has the Fourier space representation

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{S}^1(x,m,m_0)\\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int\left[\frac{\gamma^\mu k_\mu}{|k|}\left(\tanh^{-1}\frac{m}{|k|} - \tanh^{-1}\frac{m_0}{|k|}\right)\right.\\ &\left. + \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{m_0^2-k^2}{m^2-k^2}\right] e^{-ikx}\, d^4k. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Higher order contributions to the extended propagator $\widetilde{S}(x,m,m_0)$ can readily be computed from (25) and (21).

A procedure similar to that used for the mass attribute of the extended Dirac field $\widetilde{\psi}$ can be applied for the spin attribute of $\widetilde{\psi}$. In analogy with the case above involving mass m, the point of departure is to interpret the quantities γ^μ in (7) to represent the spin of $\widetilde{\psi}$. We use the metric $g^{\mu\nu}$ of signature $(1,-1,-1,-1)$ to write (7) on the form

$$(ig^{\mu\lambda}\gamma_\lambda\partial_\mu - m)\psi(x) = I_{\text{int}}(x), \tag{28}$$

and then differentiate (28) with respect to γ_σ. The result is [with the replacement of $\psi(x)$ by the extended field $\widetilde{\psi}(x,\gamma^\sigma)$]

$$ig^{\mu\sigma}\partial_\mu\widetilde{\psi}(x,\gamma^\sigma) + i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\frac{\partial\widetilde{\psi}(x,\gamma^\sigma)}{\partial\gamma_\sigma} - m\frac{\partial\widetilde{\psi}(x,\gamma^\sigma)}{\partial\gamma_\sigma} = 0 \tag{29}$$

or

$$(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m)\frac{\partial\widetilde{\psi}}{\partial\gamma_\sigma} + i\partial^\sigma\widetilde{\psi} = 0. \tag{30}$$

The resulting integro-differential equation [analogous to (14)] now becomes

$$i\frac{\partial\widetilde{\psi}(x,\gamma^\sigma)}{\partial\gamma_\sigma} = \int S(x-x_1,m)\partial^\sigma\widetilde{\psi}(x_1,\gamma^\sigma)\, d^4x_1, \tag{31}$$

which may be interpreted as describing an evolution of $\widetilde{\psi}$ through a spin channel. Further analysis for each component of γ^σ can be carried out straightforwardly in a manner analogous to the one above for m.

It is interesting to note that the attributive approach taken here, which is based on the Dirac equation, leads to a specific relationship between the spin-space and mass-space evolution of the extended Dirac field. From (31) and (14) we see that these non-spacetime evolutions are connected via the operational relation

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma_\sigma} = \frac{\partial}{\partial m}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\sigma} \tag{32}$$

between the spin (γ^σ), mass (m), and spacetime (x^σ) attributes of the extended Dirac field.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have proposed that the spacetime location x of particles represented by a field $\widetilde{f}$ is nothing more than an attribute of the field itself. This obviates the need for treating space and time in any special manner, as is done in field theories of matter in particular, and physics in general. As a point of departure compatible with this proposal, we have treated other attributes of the field in a way equivalent to the current treatment of spacetime in field theories of matter. Specifically, we have suggested how field equations may be extended when elevating non-spacetime attributes of a field to the same status as that of the spacetime attribute. We finally applied this to the case of an attributive extension of the Dirac equation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by NSF Grant No. PHY94-15583.

---

[1] L. H. Ryder, *Quantum Field Theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985).
[2] G. 't Hooft, Class. Quantum Grav. **13,** 1023 (1996).
[3] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Fields* (McGraw–Hill, New York, 1965).
[4] J. J. Sakurai, *Advanced Quantum Mechanics* (Addison–Wesley, Reading, 1967).